

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 In re HP INC., et al.,

Petitioners.

Case No. 24-mc-80120-SVK

8
9
10
11
**ORDER FOR SERVICE OF AND
RESPONSE TO EX PARTE
APPLICATION**

**ORDER TO CONSENT OR DECLINE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE JURISDICTION**

12 Re: Dkt. No. 1

13 Applicants HP Inc. and HP Deutschland GmbH (“Applicants”) have filed an ex parte
14 application for an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 authorizing service of a subpoena on
15 Nokia Technologies Oy (“Nokia”). Dkt. 1. The Court **ORDERS** as follows:

- 16 1. Applicants must serve Nokia with this Order and the application by **May 31, 2024**, and
17 file a proof of service promptly after service is completed.
18 2. Any response by Nokia to the application is due by **June 21, 2024**.
19 3. Applicants and Nokia may consent or decline the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge
20 under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) no later than **June 14, 2024**. *See CPC Patent Techs. Pty
21 Ltd. v. Apple, Inc.*, 34 F.4th 801 (9th Cir. 2022). The United States District Court
22 for the Northern District of California maintains a standard form for parties to
23 complete in cases assigned to a magistrate judge:
24

[25
26
27
28 https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/wp-content/uploads/forms/civil-
forms/Notice_of_MJ_Assignment_Election_Form.pdf](https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/wp-content/uploads/forms/civil-forms/Notice_of_MJ_Assignment_Election_Form.pdf)

Any party is free to withhold consent to proceed before a magistrate judge without
adverse substantive consequences. Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b)(2). If this Court does not

1 receive the consent of both parties by the deadline, the matter will be reassigned to
2 a district judge.

3 **SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: May 17, 2024

5 
6 SUSAN VAN KEULEN
7 United States Magistrate Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California